UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,829	09/09/2003	Nikolai M. Krivitski	86017.000037	1750	
23387 Stephen B. Sala	7590 06/04/201 ni, Esq.	EXAMINER			
Harter Secrest & 1600 Bausch &	& Emery LLP	PANI, JOHN			
Rochester, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3736		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

bsalai@hselaw.com coffen@hselaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/657,829	KRIVITSKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOHN PANI	3736		

	JOHN PANI	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered after a final rejection, be a considered after a final rejection, but a considered after a final rejection, but a considered after a final rejection, but a considered after a final rejection and a considered a conside	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5.   Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Col		PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil rided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 14,16-20,28 and 29 as detailed in the F Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21 and 22.  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	inal Rejection of 2/25/2010.		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	F10/56/06) Paper No(s)		
/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 14 as amended includes the newly presented limitation "distinguishing an amount of the indicator passing throught he terminal port from an amount of the indicator passing through the injection port". Claim 29 includes the newly presented limitation "along a direction of blood flow". Claim 30 has been newly presented and no claims were canceled.